



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,227	02/14/2002	Hans-Jurgen Schreiner	CERA 238 (10201695)	8559

24972 7590 09/29/2003

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP
666 FIFTH AVE
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198

EXAMINER

ADDISON, KAREN B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2834

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/076,227

Applicant(s)

SCHREINER, HANS-JURGEN

Examiner

Karen B Addison

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 20-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 20-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ 6) ☐ Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-22 and 25-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102b) as being anticipated by Shuichi (JP06310278).

Shuichi disclose in figs. 1-6 a Piezoceramic multi-layer actuator (fig.1) with alternating internal electrodes led to the surfaces of the actuator (3a, 3b) and inactive regions (9) assigned to them, with the internal electrodes of the same polarity being connected by their contact surfaces (Peripheral face) to form a parallel circuit to corresponding external electrode (6,7) in each case, and the external electrode being arranged on opposing sides of the actuator, characterized in that the contact surfaces (17), and therefore the inactive regions (9) assigned to them of one or a predetermined number of internal electrode of the same polarity arranged above one another in the same direction, are arranged offset one another by a predetermined angle of the value α with respect to the contact surfaces, therefore the inactive regions assigned to these, of the preceding internal electrode or a predetermined number of preceding same –polarity internal electrode of the same alignment. Characterized in that the offset is such a size as a multiple of the predetermined angle, according to the predetermine height (see fig.1), therefore the predetermine layers, consisting of a layer of piezoelectric ceramic

Art Unit: 2834

material (2) with internal electrodes located thereon, that at least the contact surfaces of the first and last of the internal electrodes of the same polarity in this range, no longer overlap. Shuichi also discloses the piezoelectric ceramic material with internal electrodes so large that the inactive regions (9) of the first and last of the internal electrodes of the same polarity in this region no longer overlap. Wherein, the offset is reversed in the opposite direction in each case after the completion of one region or several regions, so that a wave-shaped run of the offset is produce and the head and foot region having internal electrodes spacing increases from electrode to electrode towards the respective actuator (fig.2). Suichi also discloses, the cross section of the actuator as a square, circular and a rectangle. Wherein, the external electrodes (6,7) have a helical run at the connection of the contact surface of the internal electrodes with the same polarity with a constant running offset of the contact surfaces (see figs. 1-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-24,30-38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shuichi in view of Hirofumi (JP09148640).

Shuichi disclose substantially disclose the claim invention as seen above. However, Shuichi does not disclose, a borehole along the longitudinal axis of the actuator and pocket holes provided at the ends.

Art Unit: 2834

Hirofumi discloses in figs.1-4 a piezoelectric electric actuator comprising: a Piezoceramic multi-layer actuator (fig.1) with alternating internal electrodes led to the surfaces of the actuator (22,23) and inactive regions assigned to them, with the internal electrodes of the same polarity being connected by their contact surfaces (Peripheral face) to form a parallel circuit to corresponding external electrode (3,4) in each case wherein, a bore hole (B) is disclosed along the longitudinal axis of the actuator and pocket holes provide at the ends(22a,23a) for the purpose of connecting the external electrodes to the actuator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the actuator of Shuichi with the teaching of Hirofumi actuator having a bore for the purpose of provided a laminated piezoelectric actuator which is uniform in displacement through all the displacement surface of it's piezoelectric ceramic at driving.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a region or a range in which the internal electrodes are offset 1mm to 3mm or 0.5mm to 3mm, since it has been held that where the general condition of a claim are disclose in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art, In re Aller, 105 USPQ233

Referring to claims 35-38, no patentable weight has been given to the method of manufacturing limitations (i. e. co-aligning contact surfaces for internal and external electrodes, sintering the green film of the actuator subsequent to shaping the actuator) since "even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of

Art Unit: 2834

a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KBA
9/20/03

